The purpose of this work is to develop and validate a new atlas-based metabolite quantification pipeline for edited magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MEGA-MRSI) that enables group comparisons of brain structure-specific GABA levels. By using brain structure masks segmented from high-resolution MPRAGE images and coregistering these to MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI data, an automated regional quantification of neurochemical levels is demonstrated for the example of the thalamus. Thalamic gamma-aminobutyric acid + coedited macromolecules (GABA+) levels from 21 healthy subjects scanned at 3 T were cross-validated both against a single-voxel MEGA-PRESS acquisition in the same subjects and same scan sessions, as well as alternative MRSI processing techniques (ROI approach, four-voxel approach) using Pearson correlation analysis. In addition, reproducibility was compared across the MRSI processing techniques in test–retest data from 14 subjects. The atlas-based approach showed a significant correlation with SV MEGA-PRESS (correlation coefficient $r$ [GABA+] = 0.63, $P < 0.0001$). However, the actual values for GABA+, NAA, tCr, GABA+/tCr and tNAA/tCr obtained from the atlas-based approach showed an offset to SV MEGA-PRESS levels, likely due to the fact that on average the thalamus mask used for the atlas-based approach only occupied 30% of the SVS volume, ie, somewhat different anatomies were sampled. Furthermore, the new atlas-based approach showed highly reproducible GABA+/tCr values with a low median coefficient of variance of 6.3%. In conclusion, the atlas-based metabolite quantification approach enables a more brain structure-specific comparison of GABA+ and other neurochemical levels across populations, even when using an MRSI technique with only cm-level resolution. This approach was successfully cross-validated against the typically used SVS technique as well as other different MRSI analysis methods, indicating the robustness of this quantification approach.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

As the major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the human central nervous system, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) plays a crucial role in the maintenance of regular brain function by balancing excitatory neuronal activity.\textsuperscript{1,2} GABA is also deeply involved in controlling motor function, including motor decision speed,\textsuperscript{3,4} motor learning and motor memory.\textsuperscript{5,6} Moreover, abnormal GABA levels in various brain areas have been observed in a number of neurological, neuropsychiatric and neurodegenerative diseases.\textsuperscript{7-9} Therefore, the study of GABA and the GABAergic system is of interest for both neurobiology in healthy brain and neuropathology in central nervous system disorders.

Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) provides the only noninvasive means to detect in vivo GABA levels, most commonly with the MEGA-PRESS sequence: Mescher-Garwood (MEGA) spectral editing\textsuperscript{10} for GABA combined with single-voxel (SV) Point-RESolved Spectroscopy (PRESS) localization.\textsuperscript{10-13} However, only one relatively large volume can be examined at a time, with a long acquisition time necessary to ensure data quality. By contrast, fast magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) techniques can provide higher spatial resolution and a wider volume of interest (VOI) in an acceptable scan time. As such, moving on from SV MEGA-edited GABA measurements to MEGA-edited MRI greatly benefits studies of diseases with possible alteration of GABA levels in multiple brain regions, as well as studies investigating GABA and the GABAergic system combined with other MRI techniques such as fMRI and diffusion tensor imaging.\textsuperscript{14,15}

However, MEGA-edited fast GABA MRSI has been challenging. Compared with SV MRS, MRSI is affected more by B1 field inhomogeneity,\textsuperscript{16} imperfect shimming across the scan volume, motion artifacts,\textsuperscript{17} and frequency drift caused by scanner instability.\textsuperscript{18,19} Moreover, the MRSI spectra along the edges of a PRESS-based VOI are affected more by chemical shift displacement error (CSDE). For MEGA-edited MRSI, these artifacts would compound any subtraction artifacts present in the difference spectra. Moreover, due to the low concentration of GABA, a high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is required, leading to a longer scan time and thus increased vulnerability to the above artifacts compared with nonedited MRSI scans. To reduce both scan time and the extent of these artifacts in MEGA-edited MRSI for GABA detection, a highly promising technique developed by Bogner et al.\textsuperscript{20} known as MEGA-LASER 3D GABA MRSI, provides a robust approach for GABA mapping with a wider spatial coverage. This technique uses localization by adiabatic selective refocusing (LASER)\textsuperscript{21} instead of PRESS localization to significantly reduce artifacts caused by B1 inhomogeneity, minimize CSDE and enhance SNR.\textsuperscript{22} Real-time motion correction and shim updates with interleaved navigators improve the robustness, and spiral encoding effectively reduces the scan time.\textsuperscript{23} This yields a more accurate measurement of the spatial variation of GABA in the central area of the brain and provides high reproducibility, as verified in a test-retest assessment.\textsuperscript{24}

This improved GABA-edited 3D MRSI technique offers exciting possibilities for future studies of GABAergic systems: mapping of regional GABA variations, easier group comparisons across subject populations and better specificity to particular brain regions. For continuity with current studies, however, results should be compared with those from SV MEGA-PRESS, in particular, the correlation of neurochemical levels measured by the two techniques. Although the editing scheme is the same for the two sequences, the localization methods differ, as well as the bandwidths and shapes of both the excitation and refocusing pulses and the inclusion of shim and motion correction in the MEGA-LASER sequence. These different experimental parameters could possibly give rise to discrepancies between the spectra acquired by the two techniques. Furthermore, neither sequence as implemented here used macromolecule-symmetric editing\textsuperscript{11,25} or neurochemical nulling\textsuperscript{26,27} to account for sequence. These different experimental parameters could possibly give rise to discrepancies between the spectra acquired by the two techniques. Moreover, due to the low concentration of GABA, a high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is required, leading to a longer scan time and thus increased vulnerability to the above artifacts compared with nonedited MRSI scans. To reduce both scan time and the extent of these artifacts in MEGA-edited MRSI for GABA detection, a highly promising technique developed by Bogner et al.\textsuperscript{20} known as MEGA-LASER 3D GABA MRSI, provides a robust approach for GABA mapping with a wider spatial coverage. This technique uses localization by adiabatic selective refocusing (LASER)\textsuperscript{21} instead of PRESS localization to significantly reduce artifacts caused by B1 inhomogeneity, minimize CSDE and enhance SNR.\textsuperscript{22} Real-time motion correction and shim updates with interleaved navigators improve the robustness, and spiral encoding effectively reduces the scan time.\textsuperscript{23} This yields a more accurate measurement of the spatial variation of GABA in the central area of the brain and provides high reproducibility, as verified in a test-retest assessment.\textsuperscript{24}

This improved GABA-edited 3D MRSI technique offers exciting possibilities for future studies of GABAergic systems: mapping of regional GABA variations, easier group comparisons across subject populations and better specificity to particular brain regions. For continuity with current studies, however, results should be compared with those from SV MEGA-PRESS, in particular, the correlation of neurochemical levels measured by the two techniques. Although the editing scheme is the same for the two sequences, the localization methods differ, as well as the bandwidths and shapes of both the excitation and refocusing pulses and the inclusion of shim and motion correction in the MEGA-LASER sequence. These different experimental parameters could possibly give rise to discrepancies between the spectra acquired by the two techniques. Furthermore, neither sequence as implemented here used macromolecule-symmetric editing\textsuperscript{11,25} or neurochemical nulling\textsuperscript{26,27} to account for sequence. These different experimental parameters could possibly give rise to discrepancies between the spectra acquired by the two techniques. Moreover, due to the low concentration of GABA, a high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is required, leading to a longer scan time and thus increased vulnerability to the above artifacts compared with nonedited MRSI scans. To reduce both scan time and the extent of these artifacts in MEGA-edited MRSI for GABA detection, a highly promising technique developed by Bogner et al.\textsuperscript{20} known as MEGA-LASER 3D GABA MRSI, provides a robust approach for GABA mapping with a wider spatial coverage. This technique uses localization by adiabatic selective refocusing (LASER)\textsuperscript{21} instead of PRESS localization to significantly reduce artifacts caused by B1 inhomogeneity, minimize CSDE and enhance SNR.\textsuperscript{22} Real-time motion correction and shim updates with interleaved navigators improve the robustness, and spiral encoding effectively reduces the scan time.\textsuperscript{23} This yields a more accurate measurement of the spatial variation of GABA in the central area of the brain and provides high reproducibility, as verified in a test-retest assessment.\textsuperscript{24}

The huge potential of this 3D GABA mapping technique also drives the need for a practical and reproducible approach to neurochemical quantification from brain regions and structures of interest. Until now, the main approaches used for MRSI data analysis of brain neurochemicals have fallen into three categories: (1) the use of manually chosen voxels from a portion of the region of interest (ROI) to represent the neurochemical levels of the entire region\textsuperscript{24,28-30}; (2) atlas (ATL)-based techniques that use a transformation of the subject’s MRSI data into Montreal Neurological Institute or other standard space (ATL) to assign exactly one structural label to each MRSI voxel\textsuperscript{31-33}; and (3) voxel-based analysis by registering the neurochemical maps to a standard brain template to perform voxel-by-voxel statistical analysis\textsuperscript{34} similar to the approaches used in functional MRI. The need for manual interaction in the first category could introduce user-dependent variation and requires time and training. For MRSI techniques that can achieve mm-level resolution, (2) and (3) are suitable approaches to provide statistical or group information on metabolite levels of particular brain structures. However, the transformation of lower resolution neurochemical maps into an ATL-space and classification of larger MRSI voxels to only one brain region is not accurate. However, the combination of high spatial resolution and robust GABA detection is difficult to achieve due to its low concentration.

We have therefore developed an ATL-based brain structure-specific\textsuperscript{35} approach in the subject’s native image space for automated regional quantification of neurochemical levels measured with MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI, which allows this GABA-mapping technique to be used in studies that aim at comparing GABA and other neurochemical levels in specific brain regions across populations or over time. The ATL method does not require a transformation into standard space and uses interpolated neurochemical maps for obtaining average brain structure-specific neurochemical concentration levels. The analysis method was used to facilitate the quantification of neurochemicals measured with MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI and to compare results with those from SV MEGA-PRESS for GABA, glutamate + glutamine (Glu + Gln \equiv Glx), N-acetyl aspartate + N-acetylaspartylglutamate (NAA + NAAG \equiv tNAA) and creatine + phosphocreatine (Cr + PCR \equiv tCr), which are the four main neurochemical signals...
detected and quantified in a MEGA acquisition. In addition to the ATL-based quantification approach, a matched ROI-based method for quantifying neurochemical signals measured with MRSI was used to directly compare values obtained from the same location with those measured by single-voxel spectroscopy (SVS). These two automated methods and the SVS measurement were further compared with the traditionally used manual approach of averaging over selected MRSI voxels. Finally, the intrasubject reproducibility of the new ATL approach was evaluated on test–retest GABA MRSI data from an earlier study.  

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Subjects

Twenty-one healthy male subjects (age: mean [SD] = 41 [11] years; range: 22–54 years) were recruited for the study. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Indiana University. Written and informed consent forms were signed by all subjects, none of whom presented with any neurological, neurodegenerative or psychiatric disorders.

2.2 | MRI and ROI/VOI placement

The MRI/MRS examinations were performed on a clinical 3 T MR scanner (Tim Trio, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 32-channel receive head coil. MPRAGE images (TR/TE/TI = 2300/4.21/900 ms, FA = 9°, FOV = 160 x 240 x 256 mm, 1 x 1 x 1 mm resolution, TA = 6.38 minutes) were acquired for further image processing to obtain segmented brain structures.

The SVS ROIs were centered on the right thalamic region with a size of 30 x 25 x 25 mm³ (18.7 ml) (Figure 1A) following the prescription of our former GABA MRS studies on movement disorders. The thalamus was selected for SVS measurement for two reasons. First, the thalamus
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is rich in GABAergic neurons with its own GABAergic local circuits; it also receives GABA input from the substantia nigra and internal pallidum. Second, the thalamus is a relatively large brain structure with a shape that fits easily (but not perfectly) in an SVS voxel.

The 3D MRS VOIs were centered on the basal ganglia region and included the thalamus (Figure 1B). The FOV used for all subjects was 200 x 200 x 170 mm, which covered the whole brain and skull. The VOIs were chosen according to the size and shape of the brain of each subject, ranging from 70 to 75 mm in the left–right direction, 80 to 120 mm in the anterior–posterior direction and 45 to 55 mm in the superior–inferior direction. The scan matrix size was 14 x 14 x 12 interpolated to 16 x 16 x 16. The nominal acquisition resolution was 2.89 ml.

### 2.3 MRS and MRSI acquisition

The protocols for the SV MEGA-PRESS and MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI scans were determined based on commonly used parameter sets for each acquisition. This was because our goal was to compare results from the two sequences when they were both adjusted for optimized performance.

The MEGA-PRESS Siemens WIP sequence was used for the SV MEGA-PRESS scans, with the editing frequency centered on 1.90 ppm for edit-ON spectra and 7.50 ppm for edit-OFF spectra. The other sequence settings were TR/TE = 2000/68 ms, 128 averages for edit-ON and 128 averages for edit-OFF, a Gaussian editing pulse with a specified bandwidth of 44 Hz (but reportedly closer to 60 Hz in actuality), vector size 2048, acquisition bandwidth 2000 Hz, delta frequency set at –1.7 ppm relative to water (ie, localization pulses applied at –3.0 ppm to optimally target tCr) and an acquisition time of 8.40 minutes. A reference scan without water suppression was acquired for every SVS scan for eddy current correction. Advanced automatic B0 shimming combined with occasional manual tweaking to achieve optimal results was used to shim the voxels. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) value of the water peak was measured and recorded as the linewidth value for each spectroscopy scan.

For MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI, the two editing frequencies (1.9/7.5 ppm) were the same as those used in SV MEGA-PRESS. Other acquisition parameters included TR/TE = 1600/68 ms, four dummy scans, 16 weighted averages for edit-ON and 16 for edit-OFF, a Gaussian editing pulse with a specified bandwidth of 60 Hz, vector size 512, acquisition bandwidth 1250 Hz, delta frequency – 1.7 ppm and an acquisition time of 19.44 minutes. Separate water-unsuppressed reference scans were not acquired because of time limitations. The B0 shimming procedures were performed in the same way as SV MEGA-PRESS. It is worth noting that the MRSI VOIs were chosen to ensure good shimming results; consequently, the SVS ROI could not always be entirely included within the MRSI VOI.

Because the edited peaks at 3.0 ppm from the two sequences in this study contain GABA, coedited MMs and homocarnosine (a dipeptide consisting of GABA and histidine), the measured GABA signal is denoted as GABA+.

### 2.4 Spectral quantification

For both SVS and MRSI, the ON, OFF and subtracted difference spectra were reconstructed online and were exported offline for spectral fitting and quantification. The details of MRSI reconstruction can be found in Bogner et al. All spectra were quantified with LCModel V6.3-1B. For SV MEGA-PRESS, the basis sets for LCModel spectra fitting were generated from density matrix simulations of the sequence using software developed by Murdoch with published and corrected values for chemical shifts and J-coupling constants. Spin evolution during the MEGA editing pulses was taken into account, but the localization pulses were assumed to be hard pulses. Twenty neurochemicals were included in the edit-OFF basis set: GABA, Glu, Gln, NAA, NAAG, Cr, PCr, glutathione (GSH), glycerophosphorylcholine, phosphorylcholine, myo-inositol, scyllo-inositol, lactate, alanine, aspartate, taurine, glucose, phosphorylethanolamine, ascorbate and glycine. Of these, only six were included in the difference spectrum basis set: GABA, Glu, Gln, NAAG, Cr, PCr, glutathione (GSH), glycerophosphorylcholine, phosphorylcholine, myo-inositol, scyllo-inositol, lactate, alanine, aspartate, taurine, glucose, phosphorylethanolamine, ascorbate and glycine. Of these, only six were included in the difference spectrum basis set: GABA, Glu, Gln, NAAG and GSH. To be compatible with the MRSI results, no water scaling or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) correction was applied. Small relaxation corrections to neurochemical ratio values were also omitted. For MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI, the basis sets were simulated based on the radiofrequency pulses and MEGA-LASER scheme using GAMMA, as previously described. The analysis range for spectral fitting was 1.4–4.2 ppm for MRSI and 0.2–4.0 ppm for SVS. Neurochemical levels of GABA+ and Glx (measured from difference spectra for better fitting quality and smaller Cramer-Rao Lower Bounds [CRLB] values than obtained from edit-OFF spectra), tNAA and tCr (measured from edit-OFF spectra), plus the ratios GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr, were included in the comparison.

### 2.5 ATL-based approach

Neurochemical maps within the VOI were generated from LCModel fitting results using a Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA) 2014 script, interpolated into higher resolution (1 x 1 x 1 mm³) and registered to MPRAGE images using Medical Imaging NetCDF. For GABA+, only voxels with CRLB values smaller than 30% were included in the analysis; for tNAA, tCr and Glx, only voxels with CRLB smaller than 20% were included. When calculating ratios, the voxels included in the analysis were the ones that satisfied the quality control criteria of both neurochemicals. The MPRAGE
images were processed with FreeSurfer to perform brain structure segmentation.\textsuperscript{50,51} The thalamus was extracted and used as a mask to be registered to the neurochemical maps. An example of the thalamus mask registered on T1-weighted images as well as the MRSI VOI from the same subject is shown in Figure 2A. Averaged values from the region within the thalamus mask on the neurochemical maps were calculated to represent the mean level of each neurochemical within the thalamus. This is denoted as the ATL-based approach.

2.6 | ROI-based approach

In addition to the ATL-based approach, the SV MEGA-PRESS ROIs were registered to MRSI-based neurochemical maps. Averaged values were calculated from the region within the ROI on the maps to compare with levels quantified from SV MEGA-PRESS spectra. This approach is denoted as the ROI-based approach and was applied to this study to enable a more direct comparison of the neurochemical levels measured by the two localization techniques, because the SVS ROI included more than just the thalamus.

2.7 | Four-voxel approach

In this study, we also applied the widely used method of manually choosing voxels for metabolite quantification. First, the raw neurochemical maps generated after LCModel quantification were registered to T1-weighted images without any interpolation. Second, four neighboring voxels

**FIGURE 2** (A) Example of MRSI VOI (brown), thalamus mask (red) and SV ROI mask (blue) overlaid on anatomical images; (B) representative GABA+ map overlaid on anatomical images in axial, sagittal and coronal planes; (C) an example of four manually picked voxels shown in the turquoise box (4VX approach) overlaid on the GABA+ map and anatomical images to quantify thalamic neurochemical levels.
from the thalamic region and within the SVS ROI (but not on the edge of the MRSI VOI) were selected for each subject. Finally, the neurochemical levels from these voxels were averaged for further statistical analysis. Figure 2C shows an example of this approach applied on one dataset. This is denoted as the four-voxel (4VX) approach.

2.8 Test–retest reproducibility of the ATL-based approach

To evaluate the intrasubject reproducibility of the ATL-based approach, a test–retest analysis was performed retrospectively using a dataset containing 14 healthy volunteers from an earlier study24 that used the same MRSI acquisition technique and parameters. Applying the ATL-based approach on this data, the values of GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr from the right thalamus were calculated. For all ratio values, mean and standard error across all subjects from each scan session, ie, test or retest, as well as the intersession coefficient of variance (CV) from every subject were calculated. Bland–Altman plots were generated to visualize the agreement between the test and retest sessions (see the supporting information). Additionally, we assessed CVs for the size of the volume that passed the CRLB-based quality control criteria in each dataset to ensure that the calculated metabolite ratios were from the same volume of the thalamus.

2.9 Comparison between MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI and SV MEGA-PRESS

The ATL-based approach was validated by comparing mean neurochemical levels from the thalamus acquired using MRSI with those acquired with SVS. The neurochemical levels from ROI-based and 4VX approaches were also compared with SVS.

The ATL-based analysis was performed using an in-house package written in Matlab 2014a, which in turn was interfaced with LCModel. The overlaps between (1) the SVS ROI and the MRSI VOI, (2) the SVS ROI and the thalamus, and (3) the thalamus and MRSI VOI were calculated to determine if the main source of the signals compared came from the same brain region. The overall analysis pipeline is illustrated in Figure 3.

For statistical comparisons, normality of each variable was tested using the Shapiro–Wilk test, as recommended by Razali and Wah.52 Mean values and standard errors of GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr were calculated. Paired t-tests were applied to compare the GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr values measured by SV MEGA-PRESS versus those from MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI quantified with the aforementioned three approaches, as well as to compare the ATL-based approach and the other two quantification methods used for MRSI. Bland–Altman plots were generated to visualize any systematic biases between SVS and MRSI measurements. The cross-validation between the neurochemical levels measured by SVS and MRSI was conducted using two steps. First, correlations of the neurochemical levels as well as their ratio to tCr measured by MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI and SV MEGA-PRESS were examined using Pearson correlation analysis (for each analysis approach separately). Second, for GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr, linear regression was performed with the intercept set to zero. The hypothesis is that the neurochemical levels normalized to tCr as measured by different techniques should approach an identity line in such a regression. For all statistical tests, results with P-values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant. The statistical analysis was performed using SAS9.3 (2011) (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).
3  |  RESULTS

3.1  |  Spatial overlaps between the SVS ROI, MRSI VOI and thalamus

The VOI of 3D MEGA-LASER MRSI covered 100% of the thalamus mask, but only 93.8% (6.6%) (mean [SD]) of the SV MEGA-PRESS ROI, mainly due to a slightly more superior placement of the MRSI VOI. The large overlap between the SV ROI and the MRSI VOI, indicating the same source for most of the observed signal, provides a basis for comparing the results acquired with the two techniques. The thalamic mask occupied on average only 30% (5%) of the SVS ROI due to the ROI's cuboid shape. (The rest of the SV volume is composed of white matter [WM] [40%), ventricle dorsal column [10%] and putamen [4.5%], as well as parts of other nearby structures including pallidum, lateral ventricle, hippocampus, amygdala, choroid plexus, CSF and a very small area of the cortex.) Vice versa, the SVS ROI also did not cover the full thalamic mask, but only 81.2% (7%) of it. This was caused by the fact that the most anterior and posterior parts of the thalamus were not included in the SV ROI, as shown in Figure 2A.

3.2  |  Data quality

Representative spectra from SV MEGA-PRESS acquisitions and 3D MEGA-LASER MRSI acquisitions appear in Figure 4A,B. All difference spectra showed good data quality with clearly resolved GABA+ peaks at 3 ppm, except for one subject with excessive motion that yielded a poor MEGA-PRESS spectrum and two subjects with poor MEGA-PRESS water suppression. These datasets were therefore excluded from the ones used for comparison. The mean values (SD) of the FWHM values of the water peaks measured on site were 19.7 (3.1 Hz) for the SV ROI and 23.4 (2.9 Hz) for the MRSI VOI, indicating reliable data quality. To visually compare MRSI data from the thalamic region with SV results, MRSI spectra from voxels which were fully included in the region covered by the SV ROI were averaged and plotted relative to the corresponding SV spectra; examples from seven different subjects are displayed in Figure 4C. In line with the comparisons between PRESS and LASER localization from Bogner et al., spectra acquired with MEGA-LASER MRSI showed better SNR than MEGA-PRESS SV spectra using commonly applied acquisition protocols. Representative maps for GABA+ in axial, sagittal and coronal views are displayed in Figure 2B.

3.3  |  Test–retest reproducibility of the ATL-based approach

The mean and standard error of mean (SEM) values of GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr from test and retest sessions using the ATL-based approach, as well as the size of the volume (in mm³) included in the calculation, are listed in Table 1A. The CV values used to characterize
intrasubject variability for GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr, as well as the CV values for the size of the volume included in the calculation, are specified in terms of median and 25%–75% percentiles in Table 1B. Closely matching mean ratio values and low CV values between the two sessions indicate high intrasubject reproducibility of neurochemical levels and thalamic volume sizes included in the calculation.

### Table 1A
Mean and standard error of mean (SEM) across all subjects for the neurochemical levels and the size of the thalamus included in the calculation of GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr from each test–retest session using the atlas-based approach. The somewhat smaller average volumes for GABA+/Cr compared with the other ratios are an indication that some voxels did not meet the Cramer-Rao lower bounds (CRLB)-based quality control criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neurochemical levels</th>
<th>Size of volume (mm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA/tCr</td>
<td>0.27 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tNAA/tCr</td>
<td>1.73 (0.030)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glx/tCr</td>
<td>1.53 (0.026)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 1B
Coefficient of variance (CV) values for intrasubject variability of neurochemical levels and the size of the thalamus included in the calculation of GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr using the atlas-based approach. Median and 25% to 75% of CV values are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CV of neurochemical levels</th>
<th>CV of size of volume (mm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA/tCr</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tNAA/tCr</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glx/tCr</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 | **Cross-validation between MRSI and SVS**

Descriptive statistics for GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr are shown in Table 2A, with P-values from paired t-tests. The mean CRLB from LCModel fitting is presented in Table 2B for each neurochemical and each analysis technique. Bland–Altman plots for these ratio values are displayed in Figure 5 to visualize the bias between SVS and MRSI quantified with three different approaches. For GABA+/tCr and tNAA/tCr, the mean difference is close to zero. By contrast, a significantly nonzero mean difference is seen for Glx/tCr. Correlation analysis results between the two localization techniques, as well as between the three different quantification approaches for MRSI, are listed in Table 3. The GABA+ values acquired with MRSI and quantified with the ATL-based approach are significantly and linearly correlated with GABA+ values quantified by the

### Table 2A
Descriptive statistics (mean and standard error of mean (SEM)) for GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr measured by the two acquisition techniques. P-values from paired t-tests compare the metabolite ratios obtained by MRSI quantified with the atlas (ATL)-based brain-structure specific approach versus single-voxel spectroscopy (SVS), as well as with the two other MRSI quantification methods: Matched ROI-based and four-voxel (4VX).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GABA+/tCr</th>
<th>tNAA/tCr</th>
<th>Glx/tCr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Descriptive statistics (mean ± SEM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVS</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.015</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.039</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSI (ATL)</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.007</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.024</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSI (ROI)</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.007</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.025</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSI (4VX)</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.011</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.027</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-values from paired t-test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL vs. SVS</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>7.10E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL vs. ROI</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATL vs. 4VX</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4VX vs. SVS</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.38E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI vs. SVS</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.08E-09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
other two MRSI analysis approaches, as well as the values obtained with SVS, as indicated by the correlation coefficients $r$ and associated probability values (SVS: $r [P] = 0.63 \ [0.005]$; ROI-based approach: $r [P] = 0.93 \ [<0.0001]$; 4VX approach: $r [P] = 0.91 \ [<0.0001]$). Similarly, significant correlations were also seen for GABA+/tCr, tNAA, tNAA/tCr and tCr. No such relationship was found for Glx or Glx/tCr. For GABA+ and GABA+/tCr, the linear correlations between the values obtained with the ROI-based approach and the values from SVS are slightly more significant than the analogous relationships for the ATL-based and 4VX approaches, as indicated by larger $r$-values (and smaller $P$-values) for the latter pairs in Table 3. Results for the three different MRSI analysis schemes are strongly correlated with each other for all neurochemicals and neurochemical ratios of interest.

### TABLE 2B  
Cramer-Rao lower bounds (CRLB) (mean ± SEM) of GABA+, tNAA, tCr and Glx measured with SVS and MRSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GABA+</th>
<th>tNAA</th>
<th>tCr</th>
<th>Glx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVS</td>
<td>13 ± 0.7</td>
<td>2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSI (ATL)</td>
<td>20 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>12 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSI (ROI)</td>
<td>19 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>13 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSI (4VX)</td>
<td>16 ± 0.8</td>
<td>2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIGURE 5
Bland–Altman plots for GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr from the SVS measurement and the three MRSI quantification approaches ((A) ATL, (B) ROI and (C) 4VX), showing the mean difference (MD, red solid lines) and 95% confidence intervals of limits of agreement (red dotted lines).
Scatter plots for the regression analysis of GABA+/tCr, tNAA/tCr and Glx/tCr between SVS and MRSI measurements are displayed in Figure 6, with regression lines, regression equations, 95% confidence intervals, 95% confidence limits and identity lines (SVS values = MRSI values). The fitted regression equation and R-squared are also displayed. For GABA+/tCr, data points from the ATL-based and ROI-based approaches are noticeably offset from the identity line compared with data points from the 4VX approach. The data points from Glx/tCr are far from the identity line.

### DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates the performance of an automated ATL-based approach for quantifying the average metabolite concentrations of specific brain structures from lower resolution MRSI, as in GABA-edited MRSI, that has been developed for use in studies that aim to compare brain structure-specific neurochemical concentrations across populations. The new approach has been compared with other MRSI analysis approaches, and was cross-validated with SV MEGA-PRESS data for one brain structure; in this case, the thalamus. The same approach can similarly be used to investigate the neurochemical concentration in other brain structures enclosed by an MRSI VOI. Specifically, results from 3D MEGA-LASER MRSI and SV MEGA-PRESS have been compared and cross-validated by examining the correlations between GABA+, tNAA, tCr and Glx levels plus corresponding ratios to tCr as measured with the two scan techniques in the same brain region. These are the most commonly reported quantities from MEGA-edited MRS techniques, and our findings provide a reference for evaluating the influence of different sequences when comparing results from different studies. Good spectral quality was achieved for both techniques. The results demonstrate a linear relationship between neurochemical levels from SV MEGA-PRESS and 3D MEGA-LASER MRSI acquisitions, with the exception of Glx, which has different coupling behavior and chemical shifts than GABA.

### 4.1 Comparison of measured neurochemical ratio levels with other studies

The average thalamic neurochemical levels normalized to tCr measured from the ATL approach in this study (GABA+/tCr = 0.23; tNAA/tCr = 1.62; Glx/tCr = 1.53) are similar to the values measured by Hnilicová et al\(^24\) (GABA+/tCr = 0.28; Glx/tCr = 1.6) using the same MRSI sequence. A much wider range of neurochemical levels has been reported using SVS MEGA-PRESS, with GABA+/tCr values ranging from 0.14\(^\text{37}\) to 0.3\(^\text{53}\) and 0.17\(^\text{53}\) to 0.3\(^\text{55}\) in healthy controls. Thus, our measurements agree with the thalamic metabolite levels measured by MRS/MRSI from other studies.

Individual measurements from our study yielded a range of neurochemical levels, especially for thalamic GABA+/tCr (0.17–0.29 for MRSI with the ATL approach, 0.18–0.43 for SVS). One source for the intersubject variability in thalamic GABA+/tCr values could originate from the thalamus itself, which is close to the ventricles and other sources of susceptibility differences, thereby resulting in higher variability. This effect was also observed by Hnilicová et al\(^24\) with a broader 95% confidence interval seen for the mean values in thalamus voxels compared with those for cortical voxels. In addition, the healthy subjects in our study were recruited from a wide range of occupations, including some workers from a local factory who were exposed to heavy metals in welding fumes, which has been shown to be associated with higher thalamic GABA/tCr levels.\(^\text{37,38}\) We did not try to exclude these subjects because our hypothesis is that the two techniques (SVS and MRSI) should provide similar and well-correlated results over a wide range of neurochemical levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SVS vs. MRSI (ATL)</th>
<th>SVS vs. MRSI (ROI)</th>
<th>SVS vs. MRSI (4VX)</th>
<th>MRSI (ATL) vs. MRSI (ROI)</th>
<th>MRSI (ATL) vs. MRSI (4VX)</th>
<th>MRSI (ROI) vs. MRSI (4VX)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GABA+</td>
<td>0.68 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.78 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.0005)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.95 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tNAA</td>
<td>0.77 (0.0002)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.0002)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.92 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.87 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.91 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tCr</td>
<td>0.48 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.54 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.91 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.92 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glx</td>
<td>0.21 (0.39)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.63)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.43)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.89 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.94 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA+/tCr</td>
<td>0.63 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.0003)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.91 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tNAA/tCr</td>
<td>0.61 (0.007)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.94 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.92 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.96 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glx/tCr</td>
<td>0.28 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.40)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.93 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.83 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>0.86 (&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Reproducibility of the ATL-based approach

The test–retest analysis, performed on the data from Hnilicová et al., showed the high reproducibility of the ATL-based approach. Compared with the reproducibility values reported by Hnilicová et al., who used manually chosen MRSI voxels for structural neurochemical quantification, our ATL-based approach yielded lower CV values on the same test–retest MRSI data. For the ATL-based approach, the median GABA+/tCr CV was 6.30, with a 25%–75% percentile range of 1.54 to 9.58 compared with 8.1 (percentile range = 3.6–14.1) reported by Hnilicová et al.; for Glx/tCr, the median was 2.35 with a 25%–75% percentile range of 1.60–3.88 compared with 5.6 (percentile range = 2.5–10.0). An important reason for this improvement in reproducibility could be a better definition of the thalamic volume. With a larger coverage of the thalamus for the ATL-based approach (7664 vs. 1660 mm³ for the manual approach used by Hnilicová et al.), the calculated neurochemical levels better represent the average values of the entire thalamus, with less variability resulting from substructures in the thalamus that could affect the calculated neurochemical levels when manually picking a much smaller part of the thalamus.
4.3 | Comparison of MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI with SV MEGA-PRESS

In this study, a practical ATL-based approach using FreeSurfer was developed and applied to compare the neurochemical levels measured by MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI and SV MEGA-PRESS. The approach was validated based on the significant correlations found for GABA+, tNAA, tCr, GABA+/tCr and tNAA/tCr levels.

Although GABA+/tCr and tNAA/tCr both showed significant correlation between the measurements from the two localization techniques, the plots in Figures 5 and 6 illustrate that GABA+/tCr measured by MRSI is generally lower than GABA+/tCr measured by SVS, especially for ATL- and ROI-based approaches. One reason for this distribution could be that, on average, the thalamus only occupied 30% of the SV ROIs, with the other space mostly filled with WM (40% of the ROI size), as well as CSF and a small portion of the putamen. In addition, as noted earlier, the most anterior and posterior portions of the thalamus stick out beyond the SV ROI. Despite its relatively compact shape, the thalamus is not a rectangular cuboid. As such, MRSI with the ATL-based quantification approach should be able to provide more accurate thalamic GABA+ measurements. Another contributing factor may be the difference in how much coedited MM is included in the overall GABA+ signal. This fraction depends both on the specifics of the editing pulses and the choice of fitting parameters in LCModel, such as the analysis range (MRSI vs. SVS = 1.4–4.2 vs. 0.2–4.0 ppm).

Another source of variation comes from the thalamus being close to the edge of the MRSI VOI. For shimming reasons, the boundary of the VOI could not be moved farther in the inferior direction. Therefore, some of the voxels contributing to both the ROI- and ATL-based analyses were on the boundary. Although quality control was performed in the study, it came at the cost of including less thalamic and ROI volume, especially for a lower concentration species like GABA. This could be one contributor to the significant difference between the ATL-based approach and SVS, as well as the ROI-based approach and SVS, for GABA+/tCr values.

Although individual neurochemical signals are subject to T1 relaxation, the difference in TR values chosen for the two sequences (TR = 1600 ms in MRSI; TR = 2000 ms in SVS) should not have much effect on reported neurochemical ratios with respect to tCr. Using literature values for in vivo T1 relaxation times at 3 T (GABA = 1310 ms,56 thalamic NAA = 1570 ms57 and tCr = 1450 ms),58 the differences in GABA+/tCr and tNAA/tCr caused by the TR change are only 0.9% and 0.6%, respectively; these, therefore, can be neglected. Because of the low concentration of NAAG compared with NAA, the effect from the T1 of NAAG is also neglected here.

4.4 | Comparison of the ATL-based approach with other MRSI analysis approaches

Comparing the three different analysis approaches for the MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI data, tNAA/tCr results from the 4VX and ROI approaches were comparable, as the CRLB-based quality control step has less effect on the measurement of these more abundant neurochemicals. However, these tNAA/tCr values are higher than those obtained with the ATL-based method. As noted earlier, the ROI and 4VX volumes both contain some WM, whereas the ATL-based volume is focused on the gray matter (GM) of the thalamus. Because the WM/GM ratio for tNAA/tCr in this area of the brain is ~1.2,59–61 the inclusion of some WM in the measured volume would be expected to yield a somewhat higher overall tNAA/tCr value.

On the other hand, the lower GABA+/tCr value found using the ATL-based approach versus the other techniques, as seen in Table 2A, was not initially expected, because GABA (GM)/GABA (WM) ratios have been reported to be larger than one62 ranging from 2 to 8.7,63–68 Moreover, it is believed that the thalamus has similar or higher GABA+/tCr levels than cortical brain regions.26,66 which have been the primary focus of GABA comparisons in GM versus WM. However, a recent investigation69 using the same MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI sequence as that employed here found higher GABA+ in WM instead, possibly due to a higher level of MM signal at 3.0 ppm in WM than in GM.70 This finding is consistent with our ROI (and 4VX) versus ATL-based results for GABA+/tCr.

As can be observed from Table 3, for each neurochemical, the correlations between the three quantification methods for MRSI data are much more significant than the correlations between SVS and MRSI. The difference to SVS persisted despite extensive testing with different LCModel control parameters for SVS fitting (ie, variation of the analysis window, baseline stiffness, metabolite list, and inclusion or exclusion of a correction term for the CH$_2$ moiety in creatine). On the other hand, the excellent correlation of the different MRSI processing methods suggests that no significant errors were introduced by going from straightforward manual voxel selection to either of the two automated techniques that both involve registration of interpolated metabolite maps (as well as FreeSurfer-based segmentation in the case of the ATL-based approach).

4.5 | The absence of correlation in Glx

Neither Glx nor Glx/tCr values showed any significant relationship between measurements from the two scan techniques. There are two likely reasons for this discrepancy. First, the different specified editing bandwidths (SV vs. MRSI = 0.36 vs. 0.49 ppm) yield a different range of editing efficiencies, even although Gaussian pulses were used in both sequences, with the editing frequencies, TE value and localization offset frequency optimized for GABA, not Glx. Second, the chemical shift displacement associated with MEGA-PRESS gives rise to a four-compartment effect.12 In
which coupled “passive” spins in different locations within the voxel experience the effect of refocusing pulses differently. (By contrast, chemical shift displacements are negligible for MEGA-LASER.) The extent of the displacement is greater for the difference spectrum peaks of both Glu (C2 vs. C3 shift difference = 3.74− 2.08 ppm = 1.66 ppm) and Gln (1.63 ppm) than for the edited GABA peak (C4 vs. C3 shift difference = 3.01−1.89 ppm = 1.12 ppm). However, the four-compartment effect was not incorporated in the LCModel MEGA-PRESS basis set we used. The lack of correlation between MEGA-PRESS SV and MEGA-LASER MRSI results for Glx and Glx/tCr is likely due to the combination of these editing and processing discrepancies.

4.6 | Limitations

One limitation of this study is that the point spread function (PSF) for MRSI has not been taken into account. However, the effect of the PSF is reduced when neurochemical ratios are considered. In addition, the PSF of the spiral encoding technique used in this MRSI sequence was reported to be close to 1.25,58 Therefore, the PSF is not expected to cause much variation in the results.

Another limitation is that a water reference scan for the MEGA LASER 3D MRSI sequence was not acquired in this study. Therefore, water scaling for the neurochemical levels measured with MRSI could not be applied. However, the highly significant linear correlation of both raw neurochemical values and ratios to tCr as measured by the two localization techniques has provided a strong cross-validation between the two approaches.

5 | CONCLUSION

This study cross-validated MEGA-LASER 3D MRSI with the commonly used SV MEGA-PRESS sequence for GABA+ measurement by demonstrating a significant correlation of neurochemical levels measured with the two techniques. A new ATL-based MRSI analysis approach was demonstrated, which provides average neurochemical levels for specific brain ROIs by using segmented masks of the structures of interest in native space. This structure-specific approach yielded better reproducibility on test-retest data than by manually choosing MRSI voxels, and even works for MRSI data with only cm-level resolution. The new analysis approach should facilitate the use of the 3D MEGA-LASER MRSI technique in studies comparing GABA levels across populations with better accuracy than using typical ROI analysis methods. However, MRSI voxels crossing the edges of the excitation VOI should be carefully treated when included for quantification purposes, and results should be carefully interpreted by taking the signal decrease as a function of the excitation profile into account. The validation of the 3D MEGA-LASER MRSI results, especially using the new ATL-based approach, versus those from the widely used SV MEGA-PRESS technique, will enable users to understand how changing to a 3D MRSI technique may influence compatibility with prior studies performed with SV MRS.
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